EASTS & EASTS-Japan 20th Anniversary International Symposium "Future of Transportation in Asia"

Ups and Downs of Urban Transportation Planning (experiences of a consultant)

24 October 2014, Shibaura Institute of Technology

Shizuo Iwata Dr. Eng. ALMEC Corporation

Urbanization = Economic growth ?



Source: MMUTIS (WB, 2003c. UN.2002b.) Note: Data is plotted every five years.

Mega cities: primacy and city-region

Developing Mega Cities show;

- Farther population increase beyond 2030
- Densification of urban area
- Concentration of economy
- Changing environment (external impact)
 - globalization, internationalization
 - Competition among cities
 - Private sector's interest in infrastructure

	Tokyo M. Region		Mega Manila	
	23 Wards	Suburban	MManila	Suburban
Area (km2)	621	12,938	620	8,101
Popul'n '10 (mil.) '30	8.9 9.1	26.7 24.9	11.9 13.9	11.1 15.5
Density '10 (no./ha) '30	144 146	21 19	191 224	14 19
GDP Share (% national)	19.0 ¹⁾	n.a.	36.0	n.a.
	33.4		62.0	

1) share of the entire Tokyo Metropolitan

Need to specify sustainable urban development

Population (million)



Urbanization: Is growth managed adequately?



2



Traffic congestions; everywhere throughout the day

Hourly Traffic Distribution on MManila Roads¹⁾ 7% Direction1 6% Direction 2 -2-way iii 5% ₽ 4% %5 Baily ້ 2% 1% 0% 13 19 02 07 24 1) Results from 11 survey stations, 2012

Traffic Demand and Impact (Metro Manila)

Note: 2030 is Do-nothing situation

'30/'12 2012 Traffic demand (million trips/day) 12.8 14.5 1.13 Public transport share in total demand 1.00 69% 69% Occupancy of road space by private vehicles 78% 78% 1.00 Transport cost (USD million/day) 2.50 54 134 Air quality GHG 4.79 5.72 1.19 (million Tons/year) PM 1.36 0.014 0.019 1.20 NOx 0.049 0.059



Congestions Scenes

Hazard risks threaten large number of households.

No. of households living in hazard areas in Metro Manila

- High risk areas: 0.5 million
- Moderate risk areas: 0.7 million
- No. of ISFs living along waterways; 60,130
- No. of ISFs in priority (8) waterways; 19,440

Hazard risk areas

Low risk









How can they be protected or where to be relocated???

Need for affordable housing is large.

□ Affordable housing needs (Metro Manila 2010)

- Backlog: 500,000 households
- Resettlement: 560,000 households







Where can they find sites for affordable housing being free from hazard risk?



Moderate Hazard Wigh Hazard Informal Settlers (JBIC 2008)

Distribution of Informal Settlers

Opportunity for sustainable growth

Urban area

1977 Metro Plan

expansion

Redefine spatial structure through shift from radial/circumferential to ladder form

- Encourage movement of people from city center to suburban areas through TOD (public transport, housing, livelihood, etc.)
- Retrofit city center areas
- Recover green space and hazard risk free area



Approach of Tokyo Suburban rail + new town

Kashiwa-no-Ha Smart City along Tsukuba Express



Opportunities

- Upgrade existing PNR and construct a subway to establish north-south public transport backbone
- Connect NLEx and SLEx in city center areas
- Develop secondary roads in integration with land developments

Impact on overall urban transport system

- Overall transport network performance and resilience increased
- Intercity and urban traffic segregated and safety increased
- Redistribution of population and socio-economic activities accelerated





- Location: 50km from Tokyo, 40km from Narita International Airport
- Area : 28,400 ha; Central part : 2,700 ha
- Population : 216,300 (2011)

Tokyu Tama Denentoshi along Tokyu Denentoshi Line





- Location: 20-30km from Tokyo
- Area : 5,000ha
- Population : 600,000 (2013)





Population: 6.6 million (3 million: previous Hanoi)

Source Vietnam Urbanization Review (World Bank, 2011) and other sources





Population: 11 million



Population: 8.0 million



Population: 5 million

13

Integrated urban mass-transit network is a must!

□ Line1. 2 and 3 were failure? • Share 15 – 20% of corridor traffic North-South Demand for Mass-transit in Mega Manila Commuter Rail 2012 '30/'12 Metro Manila 1.5 7.4 4.9 Ridership BRLC 0 2.1 -(mil./day) 1.5 9.1 Total 6.1 Hierarchical railway network PNR/AER (suburban/urban backbone) • Primary urban Secondary urban

Impact of integration (common fare)

- Ridership increase: +20%
- Bus/jeepney ridership increase: + 2%
- Impact on road traffic: 4%

Expected modal share in 2030 (MManila)

- Railway: 41 %
- (person trip-km) • Bus/Jeepney: 33%
- Car: 26 % Note: excluding walk trips



Is expressway system a must? **Distribution of Expressway Traffic Demand** (Dream Plan, 2030) Role of urban expressway Volume/Capacity Ratio V/C > 1.50 • Attract long-trip vehicle traffic from at-V/C = 0.90 - 1.50 grade urban roads V/C = 0.75 - 0.90 • Provide congestion free fast travel to those who are willing to pay for such service • Strengthen network resilience **G** Should be integrated in terms of: N-S Connecto C Shaw Blvd • Physical (between expressways, and with urban roads) • Toll system ay-Makati-E • Operational and management □ Impact on road traffic Cross section traffic demand • 20% of pcu-kms of total road traffic 30,000 pcu/day 60,000 pcu/day

The first priority must be given to the basic!

- missing links, upgrading, secondary roads
- transport vehicles, operation and management
- awareness, enforcement, ITS, TDM

Early 1900s







- At-grade roads development;
- Modernization of buses and public
- Traffic management: facilities,





15

Why para-transit and motorcycles are not welcomed in many cities?

Space required to transport 60 people







Bicvcle/

Bus

USD26.8

bil/year

Para-transit



Source: Based on the poster of City of Muenster Planning Office (2001)

Can Dream Plan be justified?

Budget envelop

- 5% of GDP for total national infrastructure, of which 50% for transport (2014 2030) = USD188 billion
- 60% of national for Mega Manila = USD106 billion

□ Total investment cost up to 2030: US\$ 65.3bil.

Economic impact:

- VOC saving: USD14.0 bil./year
- Time cost saving: USD12.7 bil./year
- □ Financial impact:
 - Toll and fare revenue: USD2.7 bil./year

G Social impact:

- Public transport fare saving: USD0.4 /person/day
- Travel time reduction: 30 min./person trip

Environmental impact:

- Reduction in GHG: 10,233 ton/day
- Reduction in PM: 6.7 ton/day
- Reduction in NOx: 50 ton/day



I Impact of Dream Plan



Lessons learned

□ Integrated Approach

- Transportation together with core urban issues
- Spatial hierarchy (region, city, local)
- Network and operation outcome and service oriented

Shared Understanding and Consensus Building

- Participatory planning
- High level consultation
- Information sharing across the society

Focus on Implementation Strategy

- Policy commitment
- Inter-agency coordination
- Private sector initiatives

Main Transportation Studies Conducted in Metro Manila

- 1945 Major Thoroughfare Plan
- 1973 UTSMMA (comprehensive urban transport masterplan)
- 1977 METROPLAN (land use cum transport strategy)
- 1981 MMUTIP (bus amalgamation project)
- 1983 MMUTSTRAP (urban transport strategy study)
- 1984 JUMSUT (bus/jeepney rerouting along LRT1 and TOD)
- 1996 MMUTIS (comprehensive urban transport masterplan)
- 2013 Metro Manila Transport Roadmap Study

Learn from its own experiences (failure and success)

- Manila's Transportation in 1920 30s
 - Population: approximately 300,000 in 1920 30
 - Well planned urban area
 - Extensive tranvia network (track length): ~ 85km
 - Tranvia covered about 40% of total demand.
- Strategic integrated development by private sector: suburban line + housing development + power supply
- Diversified urban transport modes
- Good traffic management







Thank you for your attention...