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Abstract: The natural disasters that struck north-east Australia between November 2010 and February 2011. The QLD State government has established the Queensland Reconstruction Authority within one month. Recovery works in QLD seem to be very smooth, and transfer phase to the normal civil works is going to start. Eastern Japan also attacked by the great earthquake and following Tsunami on March 11, 2011. Japanese government discussed very long time regarding the budget and organization in charge of the reconstruction works. The Reconstruction Agency has at last established on February 10, 2012, however, road maps of reconstruction plans of each municipality are still vague. This paper aims to find out the differences between two countries from the viewpoints of organization, planning process, and financial conditions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The natural disasters attacked northeast Australia between November 2010 and February 2011. The catastrophic impacts of both the flooding events that devastated central and south-east QLD, and the destruction by Tropical Cyclone Yasi saw more than 99 per cent of Queensland declared as disaster affected. The QLD State government has established the Queensland Reconstruction Authority within one month.

Eastern Japan also attacked by the great earthquake and following Tsunami on March 11, 2011. Japanese government discussed very long time regarding the budget and organization in charge of the reconstruction works. The Reconstruction Agency has at
last established on February 10, 2012. Although two years has pasted from the March 11, most of municipalities have been making their effort only to construct houses for residents, and their city/town centers are still in vast lands with tall grasses.

2. OUTLINE OF DISASTER

2.1 Natural Disaster in Queensland, Australia

Between November 2010 and February 2011 Queensland was struck by a series of natural disasters. Extensive flooding caused by periods of extremely heavy rainfall, and destruction caused by a number of storm cells including Cyclones Tasha, Anthony and Yasi has resulted in 99% of Queensland being declared disaster affected.

37 people deceased from flood and cyclone-related events and three are still missing. 72 local government areas disaster activated under the Natural Disaster Relief and Recovery Arrangements – more than 99 per cent of Queensland. 59 rivers flooded with 12 breaking flood records. 19,000 kilometers of state and local roads affected. 29 per cent of Queensland’s rail network damaged. More than $5 billion estimated for flood restoration and reconstruction costs. Tropical Cyclone Yasi was a Category 5 cyclone and the first of that magnitude to strike the Queensland coast. 54 coal mines affected, amounting to 15 million tons of coal or $2.5 billion.

(This information was given by the Queensland Reconstruction Authority: http://www.qldreconstruction.org.au/ )

2.2 East Japan Great Earthquake and Tsunami

The 2011 earthquake off the Pacific coast of Tohoku was a magnitude 9.03 undersea mega thrust earthquake that occurred at 14:46 JST on 11 March 2011. It was the most powerful known earthquake ever to have hit Japan, and one of the five most powerful earthquakes in the world since modern record-keeping began in 1900. The earthquake triggered powerful tsunami waves that reached heights of up to 40.5 meters in Tohoku's Iwate Prefecture. On 12 September 2012, a Japanese National Police Agency report confirmed 15,878 deaths, and 2,713 people still missing, as well as 129,225 buildings totally collapsed. The earthquake and tsunami also caused extensive and severe structural damage in north-eastern Japan, including heavy damage to roads and railways as well as fires in many areas. Around 4.4 million households in northeastern Japan were left without electricity and 1.5 million without water. The tsunami caused nuclear accidents, primarily the level 7 meltdowns at three reactors in the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant complex, and the associated evacuation zones affecting hundreds of thousands of residents. Residents within a 20 km radius of
the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant and a 10 km radius of the Fukushima Daini Nuclear Power Plant were evacuated.

Early estimates placed insured losses from the earthquake alone at US$14.5 to $34.6 billion. The World Bank's estimated economic cost was US$235 billion, making it the costliest natural disaster in world history.

(the above information was published by the Reconstruction Agency of Japan)

3. THE QUEENSLAND RECONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY

3.1 Organization

The Authority has established Feb. 21, 2011. (Tropical Cyclone Yasi stroke the Queensland coast on Feb. 3, 2011) The Authority’s powers will be largely consistent with those of the current Coordinator General under State Development and Public Works Organization Act 1971 and Sustainable Planning Act 2009. Local governments will retain principal responsibility for local issues, including local planning policy. The Authority will work with the state, the Commonwealth Government, local authorities and industry and interest groups to plan, prioritize and get Queensland’s reconstruction moving.

![Figure 1 Queensland Reconstruction Authority Governance Map](http://www.qldreconstruction.org.au/publications-guides/reconstruction-plans/state-pla)
3.2 Resourcing

It is estimated the reconstruction of flood affected areas will cost in the order of $5 billion, with damage sustained from Tropical Cyclone Yasi estimated to exceed $800 million. The funds to implement this State Plan will be drawn from a variety of sources.

- The Commonwealth Government will contribute up to 75 per cent of funds allocated under the Natural Disaster Relief and Recovery Arrangements (NDRRA). The Commonwealth Government will provide its contribution to the reconstruction of QLD by means of a National Partnership Agreement.
- The QLD Government will contribute the balance of the funds allocated under the NDRRA, as well as additional funds that are provided outside those arrangements, through the State Budget process.
- Contributions by corporate and private interests, not-for-profit organizations or by foreign governments – including donor matching, that are outside the Premier’s Disaster Relief Fund.

The Disaster Management Act 2003 provides the regal framework for response to disasters.
3.3 Operation Queenslander

The State Plan: The State Plan is the strategic guidance provided to ensure that milestones across all lines of reconstruction are met. It provides the overarching reconstruction plan, the governance framework, and assigns key tasks to state level agencies and stakeholders.

Implementation plans: Implementation plans are produced by the six lines of reconstruction sub-committees. They provide specific detail regarding how the achievement of key tasks assigned by the State Plan will occur. They direct the reconstruction activities to be undertaken and initiate the development and implementation of projects.

Local plans: Developed by each local community, these plans help the Authority to understand the recovery needs and priorities of the community. They will provide a
3.4 Cross-Cutting Planning

The Authority has identified that there are a number of inter-relationships between industries, business, the environment and communities that have been adversely affected by the disasters. Where the relaxation of these impacts cuts across implementation or local plans, the Authority and the Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innovation (DEEDI) will initiate specific planning and actions to resolve these issues. To take this forward, DEEDI and the Authority will co-chair reconstruction control groups designed to bring together government, related bodies and other significant participants as required to clarify emerging issues across lines of reconstruction and prepare coordinated decisions and implementation.

3.5 Local Plan Template

The local plan template has quite precise explanations and detailed structure. A local government may be able to make their planning report very easily if they just follow the instructions. For example, the instruction of the background of a local plan is as follows:

“1) Background

<Insert map of locality> 1. Describe the location/nature of the population (socio-economic, culturally and linguistically diverse groups) affected. 2. Describe any key towns or communities within the local government area. 3. Summarises the industry or infrastructure in the locality. 4. Summarises the damage to the community, environment, infrastructure and local economy of the disaster “

Sample contents shown in the instruction are:

2) Initial Response: Summarises the actions undertaken during the immediate response.
3) Current Situation: Summarises impact assessments that have been conducted and recovery completed/undertaken to date.
4) Overview of the Local Plan: May include: •Scope •Intent •Goals •Guiding Principles •Key themes and priorities •Sub-plans •Risks

A local government will write their local plan stated above, and be asked to attach a projects list shown in the appendix.

(The above information was given by the Queensland Reconstruction Authority: http://www.qldreconstruction.org.au/ )

4. RECONSTRUCTION AGENCY IN JAPAN
Reconstruction Agency was established on 10 February 2012, almost one year after the March 11, based on the Act on Establishment of Reconstruction Agency, and headed by the Prime Minister (see organization of the Headquarters).

**Reconstruction Agency: Headquarters in response to the Great East Japan Earthquake**

- **Head**: Prime Minister
  - **Deputy Head**: Minister in charge of Reconstruction
  - **Members**: Chief Cabinet Secretary, All Cabinet Ministers, Deputy Chief Cabinet Secretary

- **Iwate Response Office (Morioka City)**: Parliamentary vice-minister for Minister of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism
- **Miyagi Response Office (Sendai City)**: Parliamentary vice-minister for Minister of Cabinet Office
- **Fukushima Response Office (Fukushima City)**: Parliamentary vice-minister for Ministry of Finance

Reconstruction Design Council in Response to the Great East Japan Earthquake
- **Chairman**: Makoto Iokibe : President of the National Defense Academy of Japan

Figure 3 Reconstruction Agency of Japan

(the above information was published by the Reconstruction Agency of Japan)

The Great East Japan Earthquake, which took place on 11 March 2011, was indeed an unprecedented national crisis. It was also a compound disaster of earthquakes, tsunami and a nuclear accident and had a broad impact all over the nation. The nation must mobilize all its efforts towards recovery from the Great East Japan Earthquake, then towards reconstruction with a future vision for the purpose of advancing social and economic restoration and rebuilding people’s lives in the disaster area. The Agency was expected to lead the Nation in the reconstruction process by promoting and coordinating the policies and measures of the Government as well as supporting reconstruction projects to be implemented by the local municipalities.

The Agency, however, is too small to handle these activities. The Agency has quite limited staff members who were picked up from related ministries of central government. Miyagi response office, for example, has only 24 staff members. They cannot do anything but to check budget request documents of reconstruction plan from municipalities. Local municipalities don’t have enough staff, enough knowledge and experience to make a reconstruction plan. They totally relied on a university people and/or a voluntary architect. They didn’t have any guideline and/or template like...
Queensland.

Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, and Transportation has 2,000 expert engineers and planner in their Tohoku branch in Sendai where is the center of disaster area. However, no governmental experts in the above organization helped a local government because Acts and the guide for reconstruction work have limited the area of their activities in order to protect autonomy of a local government. Roles of prefectures have also very limited. The second article of the guideline is as follows: “The prefectures shall implement necessary measures targeting wide area and play a role of liaison and coordination among the municipalities as well as of supplement to municipalities' administrative functions, when needed, upon capabilities of relevant municipalities in the disaster-affected areas.” It means that a prefecture doesn’t need to help the municipalities under their governmental area. It was apparently wrong decision. Many disorganized planning were submitted that ignored the effects, benefits, and the cost of project, even feasibility of the projects.

5. CURRENT SITUATION OF RECONSTRUCTION WORKS IN BOTH COUNTRIES

5.1 Queensland

1) State-wide Pipeline of Works

The State-wide reconciliation indicates that: $951 million of works is in ‘Works under Assessment’, $3.5 billion of works is in ‘Works in Market’; and $6.1 billion of works is in ‘Works in Progress or Delivered’. It means that more than 90% of works have finished or going to finish.

2) Progress of Lines of Reconstruction

Status of progress to complete on for all key tasks activated as a result of the 2010 - 11 and 2011 - 12 events for the six Lines of Reconstruction are demonstrated in Table 2. It shows the disaster events in year 2010/2011 and 2011/2012. Regarding the events in year 2010/2011, almost all key tasks have finished or going to finish. The slowest recovery can be seen in environment line. However it even shows 86% of completion rate.

3) Road and Railway

TMR(Department of Transport and Main Roads) recovered 8,482km of main road out of affected 9,170km. And 4,596km of railway has recovered out of 4,748km in one year.

4) Ports

11 ports were affected. All ports were recovered by December 2011.
4) Private houses
Small number of houses was washed out. Some 28,000 houses were submerged.

5) Insurance
Because insurance includes various kinds of claims, it is very difficult to identify the personal houses related. According to various reports, however, about 60 percent of disaster insurance was private property related. At April’s report in 2012, a total of 131,935 insurance claims had been made as a result of the floods and Cyclone Yasi. The updated total estimated reserved value was $3.78 billion. At 28 March 2012, an estimated $2.81 billion had been paid.

5.2 Japan
1) Debris
Total amount of debris produced by disaster was 27.58 million tons. 27 percent or 7.45 tons has treated and remaining by November 2012.

2) Coast Embankment
471 coastal embankments were damaged. 26 percent or 121 sites have started recovery works.

3) National Highway
1,126.6 km of national highway was damaged. 97 percent or 1,161km of highway have already reconstructed by July 2012. Some 862 km of new highway for reconstruction of disaster region has planned. 56 percent or 553km has completed or under construction by mid November 2012.

4) Railway
2,309.8 km of railway was damaged. 89 percent or 2,046.6 km have already reopened by July 2012

5) Ports
101 important port facilities were damaged. 78 percent or 79 facilities have started recovery works by August 2012.

6) Private houses
397,390 private houses were completely destroyed or non-livable and another 731,680 houses were partially destroyed. Some 21,000 houses have planned to construct by local government. 27 percent or 5,651 houses have started construction works by November 2012. 253 residential sites have newly planned to develop. Only three percent or seven sites have started to develop.

6. CONCLUSION:
DIFERRENCE BETWEEN JAPAN AND QUEENSLAND, AUSTRALIA

First, the head of reconstruction organization, i.e. the Reconstruction Authority, in Japan is the Prime Minister, Noda Y., while the Chair of Queensland Reconstruction Authority is the major general of QLD, Mick Slater. An organization must be near-by the disaster area which can easily understand the situation of the area and be well understood by the people in the affected area.

Second, the Queensland Reconstruction Authority drive forward reconstruction plans and implementation by the six lines of reconstruction, while Japanese government ask municipalities to plan and implement reconstruction works, and the authority only take a role of valuation and adjustment of projects. The six lines of reconstruction are fully supported by each related department of the state government, then adjustment of projects and allocation of budget seems to be very smooth.

Third, the Department of Local Government and Planning will support local governments to prepare and implement local plans. However, Japan doesn’t have such a system. The Authority is working with regionally based informal planning assistance teams established to provide assistance to local governments for the development of their Local Plan. This assistance team is just the same as a system in Japan. However, the Local Plan of QLD stated that these teams are composed of regional staff from the Department of Local Government and Planning (DLGP), Department of Communities (DoC), Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innovation (DEEDI) and the Department of Environment and Resource Management (DERM). This point is quite different from Japan.

The Basic Guidelines for Reconstruction of JPN stated below. In principle, the main administrative actors accountable for reconstruction shall be municipalities, for the municipalities are closest to local residents and best understand characteristics of the regions. The prefectures shall implement necessary measures targeting wide area and play a role of liaison and coordination among the municipalities as well as of supplement to municipalities’ administrative functions, when needed, upon capabilities of relevant municipalities in the disaster-affected areas. It means that the municipalities have to do everything by themselves. There is no direct help from other organization as well as prefectures’ government. A municipality often visits the local branch of central government such as the Tohoku Regional Bureau of MLIT and consults some related projects with them. It is very inefficient manner.

Fourth, Cross-cutting planning stated the above. The DEEDI and the Authority will co-chair reconstruction control groups to clarify emerging issues across lines of
reconstruction and prepare coordinated decisions and implementation. There is no such an adjustment or coordination system among adversely affected reconstruction projects.

Fifth, the state plan stated that the authority will finish their reconstruction works by 2013 and remaining tasks be transferred normal government business. The resident believed the ordinal staffs of QLD government will take over everything after the operation end. The reconstruction Agency in JPN doesn’t have such a regulation, then, no one knows who will be in charge of after projects.

Sixth, the biggest difference can be observed the instructions to formulate various reconstruction projects by local government. The QLD authority prepared a set of instruction of project formulation including a very precise template. The table of contents seems to be brief and complete, easily accessed, clear and able to be revised as necessary. The Guideline for reconstruction of JPN and other related documents don’t have any such a guide at all. The local plans of municipalities are completely different one by one even style of document. The plans don’t mention about priority of a project, effects/benefits of a project, and rationality of the budget.

POSTSCRIPT: It is very obvious the organization and system of reconstruction from the disaster in QLD is much much better than those of Japan. I don’t want to say Japanese is inferior to Australian in this aspect. I can point out the reasons behind as follows:

Different administration system: Australia has six states and two major mainland territories. A state has a power to have own laws, has enough staffs with their specialties. The prefectures in Japan are deeply depended on the central government who don’t have enough staffs to build the plans, to design facilities, and to implement the reconstruction projects.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Issue or need</td>
<td>Short description of the issue or need that must be addressed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project or initiative to address need</td>
<td>Short description of the project or initiative.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is the project a repair or betterment activity?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>Who supports the project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What parts of the community and how many?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding secured? Yes/No</td>
<td>Has funding been secured? Yes/No.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Cost</td>
<td>If yes, what source? Estimated Cost.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who will implement the project</td>
<td>Who will be responsible for implementing the project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessed Benefits</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Who &amp; how many will it benefit? What are the community benefits?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economy</td>
<td>What are the economic benefits? How many will it help?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>What are the environmental benefits?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Information</td>
<td>Other supporting information including the address/location of the project, assistance needed, work already commenced, estimated start and end dates, involved stakeholders, involved Recovery Sub-committees.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Status of progress to complete on for all key tasks activated for the six Lines of Reconstruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line of Reconstruction</th>
<th>2010-11 % Complete</th>
<th>2011-12 % Complete</th>
<th>% Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human and Social</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Recovery</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads and Transport</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Liaison and communications</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>